

# The E.U. Flag origin, Mary worship, & the Revival of Catholic supremacy

\*\*\*This ministry is not a Catholic ministry, believing that Mary also, according to her own words, needed a Savior, saying "My spirit has rejoiced in God my Savior... and His mercy is upon them that fear Him..." (Luke 1:47-50)

Officially adopted as the EU symbol in 1985, the design of the European Union flag dates back to 1955, and it had been used extensively in the intervening years. If they ever discuss the flag's origins, EU bureaucrats sternly dismiss the myth that the symbol is in any way religious, or that it has something to do with the Virgin Mary. What pious nonsense! The problem is that this interpretation is exactly correct, and can easily be demonstrated to be so. The story also takes us back to a time when the European ideal was quite definitely a Catholic dream.

In December 8, 1955, on the Catholic Feast of "The Immaculate Conception of Mary the Co-redeemer", the European Ministers delegates officially adopted the European flag, twelve stars on a blue background, designed by Arsene Heitz, who, today, is an octogenarian artist in Strasbourg.



Recently Heitz revealed to a French magazine the reason for his inspiration. According to the artist, he thought of the twelve stars in a circle on a blue background, exactly the way it is represented in traditional iconography of this image of the Immaculate Conception. A devotee of the Virgin Mary, Heitz

never misses praying a daily Rosary. Heitz noticed the words of the Apocalypse, "And there appeared a great wonder in Heaven; a woman clothed with sun, and the moon under her feet, and upon her head a crown of twelve stars," (**Revelation 12:1**) As a devout Catholic, he applies this to Mary, whom Catholics worship.

The European Union's flag consists of twelve stars, inspired by the halo of twelve stars that appear around the Madonna in Catholic pictures of her. And, that is how a profoundly religious symbol came to be the official European Union flag.



A former secretary-general of the Council of Europe, Leon Marchal, affirmed that the stars are those of "the woman of the Apocalypse." Enthusiastically he explained, "It's wonderful that we have gotten back to the Introit of the new Mass of the Assumption. It's the *corona stellarum duodecim* (the crown of the twelve stars) of the woman of the Apocalypse." The Catholic Church has always claimed that she represents the Virgin Mary, "the mother of God." This means that the European flag is a Catholic flag.

The EU, which now has 28 member countries, has confirmed that the number of stars will always stay at twelve, which indicates that the stars do not represent countries. A leaflet, "Building Europe Together," given to visitors to EU headquarters in Brussels, says: "The European flag (is) a shared flag...The number will remain twelve no matter how many countries there are in the European Union."

The Marian (Mary worship) symbol of twelve stars is on every

license plate of the European Union and every banknote in Europe. Vatican-issued Euro coins have the Pope's image with twelve stars.

So important is Mary in Catholic worship, that on September 2, 1958, Archbishop Montini of Milan (the later Pope Pius XII) released on the mountain Serenissima a twenty-meter high statue of Mary and called it "Our Beloved Lady, Ruler of Europe." Pope Pius XII called Mary "Mother of all Nations" and called on March 3, 1953, for a reunion of nations. Bishop Dr. Graber said on September 9, 1978: "I've asked for a Marian European International. . . . We pray and ask in silence that the western world one day will be as it was: a IMPERIUM MARIANUM [Mary's Imperial Kingdom]."

Two Italian Northern League MPs, Lorenzo Fontana and Mario Borghesio said "Few people know that the symbol of unified Europe has exquisitely Marian origins, as the European Union's official flag proves with its twelve stars and the Virgin Mary's blue and white colours."

"Unfortunately – they said – "Europe has deviated dangerously from the symbol's original source of inspiration, veering in a different direction, to benefit interests that have little to do with those of our people and with the Christian values the vast majority of Europeans uphold."

Pope Francis, a Jesuit Pope, said that Europe needs to "get back to her roots", directly referencing that Europe was primarily Catholic for 1260 years under its authority to fulfill a prophecy found in Revelation 13:3-4.

The Jesuit Pope addressed all of the members of the European Parliament also, which should give everyone who knows the history of the dark ages, as well as the work of the Jesuits, a definite cause for concern. To learn more about the Jesuits, go [here](#).

Indeed, the European Union is dedicated to Mary, and is a continual token which testifies that it is for the reunification of the Catholic supremacy which was held in the dark ages over the nations of Europe. But it was the gospel, under the translation of the Bible through Luther, which led the German princes to take a stand for the Bible and to oppose Papal supremacy which allowed the people religious freedom. It was the Spirit of God which shone as light in the darkness, to shine into their hearts the light of the knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ. And it was this gospel, thus resisted and rejected by the Papacy, that brought about the loss of its authority over the kings of Europe progressively more and more, until in 1798, that power was removed.

Yet if the darkness scattering meant the loss of Papal supremacy through the gospel, what does it mean should the Papacy receive its supremacy back? As Catholics boldly state, they do not intend to affect any change in their tyrannical authority which they exercised in years past:

“The supreme duty of Catholics is to obey the Pope, and seek in every way, and especially by means of the ballot, to render the Catholic policy effective in this country.” (Catholic World, Vol. XI, No. 64, 1870.)

“Many non-Catholics fear us as a political organisation, and are afraid that the Catholic Church will dominate and rule; we are working quietly, seriously and I may say effectively, to that end.” (The Catholic magazine The Missionary, June, 1909, p. 69.)

“All Catholics must make themselves felt as active elements in the daily political life in the countries where they live. All Catholics should exert their power to cause the constitution of the states to be modelled on the principles of the true church.” (Pope Leo XIII, Encyclical Letter of 1890.)

“What the Church has done, what she has expressed or tacitly

approved in the past, that is exactly what she will do, express or tacitly approve in the future, if the same circumstances occur.” (Catholic Review, Jan., 1854.)

In adherence to that very doctrine that she does not change, Catholics have sought to enforce Sunday legislation upon the civil power, showing that she would do exactly what she has done before.

“In respecting religious liberty and the common good of all, Christians should seek recognition of Sundays and the Church’s holy days as legal holidays.” (Catechism of the Catholic Church, #2188)

“Who must propose legislation in favor of the family? Christians must, and to do so they must move.”  
(Cardinal Antonelli, President of the Pontifical Council for Families, May 2012)

“Therefore, also in the particular circumstances of our own time, Christians will naturally strive to ensure that civil legislation respects their duty to keep Sunday holy.” (John Paul II, Dies Domini, 1998)

For those who have looked at our article, [From Sabbath to Sunday](#), you know it is a practical confession that Sunday sacredness is, in fact, of pagan origin by the Pope’s own confession, and did not originate from any precept in the Bible. It two centuries after the last apostle died. In essence, the darkness of the Papacy is being revived.

---

# Australia PM's adviser: climate change is UN hoax to create new world order



Maurice Newman, chairman of Tony Abbott's business advisory council, says UN is using debunked climate change science to impose authoritarian rule.

The Australian prime minister's chief business adviser has accused the [United Nations](#) of using debunked climate change science to lead a new world order – provocative claims made to coincide with a visit from the top UN climate negotiator.

Christiana Figueres, who heads the UN framework convention on climate change, touring Australia this week, urged the country to move away from heavily polluting coal production.



Maurice Newman wrote: 'It's about a new world order under the control of the UN.'

Under Tony Abbott's leadership, Australia has been reluctant to engage in global climate change politics, unsuccessfully attempting to keep the issue off the agenda of the G20 leaders' summit in Brisbane last year. Maurice Newman, the chairman of Abbott's business advisory council and a climate change sceptic [with a history of making provocative statements](#), said the UN was using false models showing sustained temperature increases to end democracy and impose authoritarian rule.

"The real agenda is concentrated political authority," Newman wrote in [an opinion piece published in the Australian newspaper](#). "Global warming is the hook. It's about a new world order under the control of the UN..

"It is opposed to capitalism and freedom and has made environmental catastrophism a household topic to achieve its objective."

Figueres used an address in Melbourne to urge Australia to move away from coal, the country's second-largest export, as the world grapples with global warming.

“Economic diversification will be a challenge that Australia faces,” she said.

Abbott has described coal as “good for humanity” and the “foundation of prosperity” for the foreseeable future.

Figueres also urged Australia to play a leading role at the climate summit in Paris in December, a call unlikely to be heeded given Abbott’s track record.

At the Brisbane G20 meeting, he warned that the Paris summit would fail if world leaders decided to put cutting carbon emissions ahead of economic growth.

At home, Abbott, who in 2009 said the science behind climate change was “crap”, repealed a tax on carbon pricing and abolished the independent Climate Commission advisory body.

Asked on the Canberra leg of her trip if the politics around renewable energy was as toxic elsewhere in the world, Figueres said: “No. At the global level what we see is increased participation of renewables, increased investment in renewables, increased excitement about renewables.”

Abbott’s office and the UN did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

SOURCE:

<http://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2015/may/08/australia-pms-adviser-climate-change-is-un-hoax-to-create-new-world-order>

SCRIPTURE & THOUGHTS:

“And the ten horns which you saw are ten kings which have received no kingdom as of yet, but receive power as kings one hour with the beast. These have one mind...” Revelation 17:12-13

The prophecy must come to pass that there are ten kings, united in one cause who have received no kingdom ready to meet



the judgments that are to fall upon the earth. The dragon has seven heads, five were fallen, which are the five kingdoms of the world: Babylon, Media-Persia, Greece, Pagan Roman Empire, Papal Roman Empire. Then it says that one kingdom in the vision was: this was America, at which time the Papacy is given a renewed worldwide reverence as the woman who sits enthroned upon the dragon, even though she is that very same woman who persecuted the saints in the dark ages and has not changed according to God's Word. At this time when she is mounting up her power in the time of America, it is said "I will show you the judgment of the great whore (the Papacy) who sits upon many waters (enthroned in the midst of people, nations, tongues, etc.)". On September 24th, it was the Day of atonement, the "Day of judgment", in the Bible. It was in America that the Papacy addressed the legislative committee of the United States of America, the United States Congress, and was received with equal honors on the scale of a newly elected president: a sign indeed that the prophecy brought to view for John in the days of America is brought to view for us in it's beginning phase. It shows forth the heart of America as being the key proponent of exalting the Papacy. At this time, we see the formation of a "Sustainable Development Agenda" put forth by the United Nations, and ratified in Paris.

This will go into effect for 2016, and changes will begin taking place in 2020 according to the United Nations. This however is the development of a united effort to bring new legislative powers, a new kind of kingdom, into dominance. Do not be fooled. This agenda is bringing this "world order" into fruition thus fulfilling this prophecy. By that time, it will be too late to choose for Christ, because the judgments will be ripe to fall. However, the Papacy will be exalting from this time until then, and gain more and more power, and will be drunk with the power she is given, her and all who profess Christianity and desire political legislative enactments to sustain it's cause, because God's hand is not sustaining it. This will happen until the kings of the earth, who set up this

system while the Papacy is enthroned upon the nations, will realize they are lost. Thus fulfilling the prophecy:

“And the ten horns which thou sawest upon the beast, these shall hate the whore, and shall make her desolate and naked, and shall eat her flesh, and burn her with fire.” (Rev. 17:16)

God’s judgment is fulfilled on this unholy woman, because she has played the harlot. Thus fulfilling the words in the judgment of God:

“And the daughter of any priest, if she profane herself by playing the whore, she profaneth her father: she shall be burnt with fire.”

(Lev 21:9)

---

## **A Cage of Every Filthy Bird and Every Unclean Spirit: A United Nations of Religions**

In a recent meeting between former Israeli president, Shimon Peres, and the ecumenical Pope Francis, a great fulfillment of prophecy has begun to take force. It is no surprise that after every world war, there are massive peace initiatives made that tend to bring the inhabitants of the earth further from the horrors that occurred in the dark ages, and to the horrors and atrocities of wars. The pope that has the world mesmerized has, according to BBC News, said the following:

“Even today, after the second failure of another world war, perhaps one can speak of a third war, one fought piecemeal, with crimes, massacres, destruction,” he said.

This he said in reaction to the religious conflict that is raging in the middle east. At the same time, the meeting with Peres and the Papacy took place. What was said at that time will show itself to be, at least, the spirit of the time, and at most, a great advance towards the papacy's revival of persecution.



Francis &  
Peres

In the meeting, Shimon Peres, having newly retired from the presidency of Israel, is pursuing a new project. Peres, who has said that he believes that this new pope represents “a continent which is now awakening” said the following to the Pope:

“The U.N. has had its day,” Peres said. “What we need is an organization of religions, **a United Nations of religions**. It would be the **best way to fight these terrorist** who kill in the name of faith, because the majority of people are not like them, practice their religion without killing anyone, even without thinking about it.”

Regarding the proposal, Fox News reported that “Francis promised the Vatican’s attention to the proposal.”

The concept appears to be innocent at a quick glance, however, Christ has clearly said that Christians are not to be involved

in any such thing. Religious freedom is a clear and well-defined principle of the gospel. Even when Christ was put to death by His own, which "received Him not", He went as a lamb to the slaughter. When His disciples desired to call down fire from heaven to destroy those who wouldn't receive Jesus. Hear Jesus words:

"For the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save *them*. And they went to another village." Luke 9:56

Hear also the language used by Peres: "The United Nations has had it's day..." Thus comparing the goals of the United Nations to the goals of this United Nations of Religions. When the United Nations was established, it was to secure the protection of each nations individuality, save those who war against the member nations. The declaration of the UN is as follows:

"(1) Each Government pledges itself to employ its full resources, military or economic, against those members of the Tripartite Pact and its adherents with which such government is at war.

(2) Each Government pledges itself to cooperate with the Governments signatory hereto and not to make a separate armistice or peace with the enemies."

If a united religious body was to bring it's full consensus against religious groups that differed from said body, under a defacto religious unity that brings the influence of the Papacy to a greater measure of the much boasted "moral authority" over the deceived nations. Francis has not shied away from declaring how he regards the extremism of Islamist terrorists as dangerous as fundamental Christians who believe the Bible literally.

"There are these small (radical or terrorist) groups," the pontiff stated. "It would be a good thing if all Muslim leaders, whether they be political or religious leaders, say

clearly that they condemn that because this would help the majority of the Muslim people to say no.”

Francis asserted that it is wrong to consider Islam a terrorist religion, and compared it to considering all Christians to be “fundamentalists.”

“Sincerely, I think we can’t say that all Muslims are terrorists,” he stated.

“You just can’t say that, just as you can’t say that all Christians are fundamentalists,” the pontiff continued. “We have our share of them (fundamentalists). All religions have these little groups.”

That being said, what does prophecy say about this movement to usher in a united religious movement?

In the Great Controversy, we read of just such a movement:

“The line of distinction between professed Christians and the ungodly is now hardly distinguishable. Church members love what the world loves and are ready to join with them, and Satan determines to **unite them in one body** and thus strengthen his cause by sweeping **all into the ranks of spiritualism**. Papists, who boast of miracles as a certain sign of the true church, will be readily deceived by this wonder-working power; and Protestants, having cast away the shield of truth, will also be deluded. Papists, Protestants, and worldlings will alike accept the form of godliness without the power, and **they will see in this union a grand movement for the conversion of the world** and the ushering in of the long-expected millennium.

Through spiritualism, Satan appears as a benefactor of the race, healing the diseases of the people, and professing to present **a new and more exalted system of religious faith**; but at the same time he works as a destroyer. His temptations are leading multitudes to ruin. Intemperance dethrones reason; sensual indulgence, strife, and bloodshed follow. Satan

delights in war, for it excites the worst passions of the soul and then sweeps into eternity its victims steeped in vice and blood. It is his object to incite the nations to war against one another, for he can thus divert the minds of the people from the work of preparation to stand in the day of God. {GC 589.1}" GC, pg. 589



Coexist- "...but on Satan's terms."

Satan's new and more exalted system of religious faith, uniting in one body those bound up in his deceptions, is nothing less than "spiritualism". In spiritualism, the deception is generally presented as being able to partake of the blessings of life, without any obligation to obey God's requirements. For instance, you can have health, and wholeness, but also eat pork, and refined sugars, and smoke cigarettes.

The Bible warns us about when Israel apostasized, and mingled with the heathen, warning us of the dangers of uniting with them in any manner:

**"But were mingled among the heathen, and learned their works. And they served their idols: which were a snare unto them."**  
Psalm 106:35-36

It was a snare to them to come to close to the heathen, that they joined with them in worship; the warning given to those that "pleased themselves in the children of strangers":

**"Therefore Thou hast forsaken thy people the house of Jacob... they please themselves in the children of strangers."** Isaiah 2:6

“Let no man deceive you with vain words: for because of these things cometh the wrath of God upon the children of disobedience. **Be not ye therefore partakers with them.** For ye were sometimes darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord: walk as children of light: (For the fruit of the Spirit is in all goodness and righteousness and **truth**□ Proving what is acceptable unto the Lord. And **have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them.**” (Eph. 5:6-11)

The Bible is clear not to be joined with “the children of disobedience”, not partaking in the wrath of God, but God has “made us meet to be partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light” (Col. 1:12). Part of this promise, included partaking of the divine nature, which includes the fruit of the Spirit. This fruit, as we just read, is found in truth, but where the deceptions of spiritualism are, there is darkness, and unfruitfulness, and wrath. How can we possibly join with them?

“Know ye not that your bodies are the members of Christ? shall I then take the members of Christ, and make them the members of an harlot? God forbid. What? know ye not that he which is joined to an harlot is one body? for two, saith he, shall be one flesh. But he that is joined unto the Lord is one spirit.”  
1 Cor. 6:15-17

We are not to join ourselves to become part of the body of a harlot, but the Catholic church is a system of great apostasy, which has sold the truth for lies and temporal power; no true Christian can partake of her, without consenting to her sins. Furthermore, we read:

“Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship **hath righteousness with unrighteousness?** and what **communion hath light with darkness?** And what concord hath **Christ with Belial?** or **what part hath he that believeth with an infidel?** And what agreement hath **the temple of God with**

**idols?** for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore **come out from among them, and be ye separate**, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you, **And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters**, saith the Lord Almighty." 2 Cor. 6:14-18



Unequally yoked; a match made in the heart of the prince of darkness.

These are the councils that we read in the Bible, not to join with them, and not to be partakers with them, or consenting with them in their deeds. The prophesied United Nations of Religions would be doing exactly this. We cannot join ourselves with the great harlot of Revelation, and keep the favor of God, because she openly disobeys the gospel, not obeying the truth, but seduce all to walk in disobedience to God's laws. A call to repentance is to be given by the Elijahs of today, calling men to remember God's law, and set before them the blood of the new covenant, inviting them to be purified by hearkening to the Word of God, while calling them out of this unlawful union with the harlot, who has ensnared nearly the whole world in her cage of false unity:

"And after these things I saw another angel come down from heaven, having great power; and the earth was lightened with his glory. And he cried mightily with a strong voice, saying, **Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen, and is become the habitation of devils, and the hold of every foul spirit, and a**



**cage of every unclean and hateful bird.** For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, and the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her delicacies. And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, **Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers** (Grk: participate/fellowship) of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues. For her sins have reached unto heaven, and God hath remembered her iniquities.”



The Union of  
the Children  
of  
Disobedience:  
The cage of  
every filthy  
bird and every  
unclean  
spirit.

Fearful are the results of this great harlot's cup of communion, we are told that she is burnt with fire. Of this woman of desolation and bondage, we are given a glimpse at the contrast between God's precious bride and her children, and the unfaithful harlot, and her children in the words that our God consoles His bride, His Jerusalem, saying:



The prophets of Baal are more than the Elijahs, but the true Elijah will keep the Word of God.

“more are the children of the desolate than the children of the married wife, saith the LORD...And all thy children shall be taught of the LORD; and great shall be the peace of thy children. In righteousness shalt thou be established: thou shalt be far from oppression; for thou shalt not fear: and from terror; for it shall not come near thee. **Behold, they shall surely gather together (the children of the desolate), but not by me: whosoever shall gather together against thee shall fall for thy sake.** Behold, I have created the smith that bloweth the coals in **the fire**, and that bringeth forth an instrument for his work; and I have created the waster to destroy. (Isaiah 54:1,13-16)

Another illustration of this union and its judgment is given:

“So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares? He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up? But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them. Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and

**bind them in bundles to burn them:** but gather the wheat into my barn.” Matthew 13:27-30



The separation has begun...

This is the great prophetic movement, where the wheat and the tares are separated: the time of the harvest, which is the time of the latter rain, as well as the time of the plagues! (Zech. 14)

For those who are bound in that bundle, it is for the appointed judgment. We read that here:

“And the daughter of any priest, if she profane herself by playing the whore, she profaneth her father: she shall be burnt with fire.” Leviticus 21:9

“And the ten horns which thou sawest upon the beast, these shall hate the whore, and shall make her desolate and naked, and shall eat her flesh, and burn her with fire.” Rev. 17:16

**“COME OUT OF HER MY PEOPLE!”**

---

# Eternally Protestant: Religious Liberty Renounced

“And I beheld another beast coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spake as a dragon. And he exerciseth all the power of the first beast before him, and causeth the earth and them which dwell therein to worship the first beast, whose deadly wound was healed.”

(Revelation 13:11-12)



There are two beasts depicted in Bible prophecy; the first and the second. The first is Papal and the second is Protestant. The first is European and the second is American. The first is called the beast, and the second called the image of the beast. Prophecy tells us that America shall follow in the steps of Old Europe; that the Papal exercise of authority over men's consciences in that land shall be exercised by the Protestant churches in America. Yet for Protestantism to come to the place where Papalism has been, and to exercise all the power that was seen in the dark ages, Protestantism must become something other than what it ever was. What does it mean to renounce the protest, and say that Protestantism is over? It is a fearful thing to renounce the protest against the Roman Catholic system; it means more than most realize, and takes in more than most think. We will look at the history

of Protestantism, and examine the principles, and the startling and dreadful consequences of renouncing it.

## **THE PRINCIPLES OF FREEDOM/PROTESTANTISM**

It was under the oppressive reign of the Papacy, the apostate church of Bible prophecy, that religious liberty took a foothold in the hearts of the people. The Papacy began its dirge against freedom of conscience first when it began to rise to power and prominence amongst the emperors of Europe. It enforced homage to the fallen church, to violate the Sabbath commandment while resting upon "the venerable day of the sun", and to disregard His statutes, while bowing to "the most holy Trinity" as the supreme three-in-one god who, according to the Catholic church teachings, placed the Popes in a position of authority over the consciences of men. They had interpreted that it was given to Peter to bind things in heaven a



The keys were said to be the keys to heaven, earth, and consciences of men; hence the triple crown of authority.


nd earth, which included their consciences. The Bible was veiled in mystery, being inaccessible to the common people due to its latin vernacular. It was understood that the priests who related what was in the Bible were to be its authorities and the only true expositors of Scripture. This reliance upon

man for the Word of God left a veil upon the hearts of men, and kept them in darkness.

God would raise up reformers, who would be employed to work great reformatations in their communities and beyond; this kept the light of Bible from becoming wholly extinguished from the land, but it was largely fulfilled what was spoken of in the Scripture:

“Behold, the days come, saith the Lord GOD, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD: And they shall wander from sea to sea, and from the north even to the east, they shall run to and fro to seek the word of the LORD, and shall not find it. In that day shall the fair virgins and young men faint for thirst.” (Amos 8:11-13)

The church that was in Rome was given much counsel, to keep their feet from turning into the way of apostasy, declaring that “the gospel of Christ” was “the power of God unto



salvation,” and that the “just shall live by faith”; but the counsel gradually was lost sight of, the power of the gospel was exchanged for the power of the state; faith in God’s Word was a concept that was strange and dark, and all men, whether they had

faith or not, were expected to yield themselves to the Roman church’s allegiance, or be threatened with death. Yet it was under one reformer that the entreaties to the church in Rome were finally heeded. The dark mind of one Roman church priest, Martin Luther, tormented deeply, there was a longing for a power higher than himself to assure salvation. In his studies of the Scripture, the words of Paul to the church of Rome were as the light which leads to everlasting day, “The just shall live by faith...”. From that light alone, he began to see a number of matters proving the Roman church to be a system counteracting living faith, and protested the current doctrine

form of salvation. The word spread like fire in the dry brush; the hearts of people burned within them to catch a glimpse of the gospel. The power of the apostate church was broken, of whom it was said "All the earth shall worship him whose names are not written in the book of life of the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world." (Rev. 13:8) The apostate church was said to be that rise of the "mystery of iniquity" which takes away the power of godliness; the principles of the Roman church were, and still are, after the world, and not Christ.



Luther maintained his conscience bound to be true to the Highest Authority of heaven.

Martin Luther's death warrant was nearly secured. A diet was held at Worms, in Germany, to have Martin Luther renounce his works which contradicted the present positions of the Catholic church. Yet Luther, having tasted the gospel's power, and seen a glimpse of its beauty, responded the word which ignited the flames of religious liberty that would not so soon be extinguished: "Unless I am convicted by scripture and plain reason – I do not accept the authority of the popes and councils, for they have contradicted each other – my conscience is captive to the Word of God. I cannot and I will not recant anything for to go against conscience is neither right nor safe. God help me. Amen." Upon his return from the diet at Worms to Wittenburg, the edict of Worms was issued. It stated:

“For this reason we forbid anyone from this time forward to dare, either by words or by deeds, to receive, defend, sustain, or favour the said Martin Luther. On the contrary, we want him to be apprehended and punished as a notorious heretic, as he deserves, to be brought personally before us, or to be securely guarded until those who have captured him inform us, whereupon we will order the appropriate manner of proceeding against the said Luther. Those who will help in his capture will be rewarded generously for their good work.”

The death sentence and assassination attempts against him failed; he was anointed by God to do a work, that “if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it” (Acts 5:39). This excommunication of Luther was revealed to a German prince, Frederick of Saxony, who had received communicated via divine revelation of the work of Luther, and subsequently, he extended measures to protect him; hiding him for a considerable amount of time in Wartburg [Literally translated, “Watchman mountain”] Castle. It was there that Luther began to translate the Bible into a common language that could be understood by all; that of German. The Bible thus being translated, it was delivered to the princes of Germany. The power of Popery was broken in their hearts as they read the Scriptures; a power which was heaven-ordained bound their souls closely to God; the “mystery of godliness” in Christ Jesus had once more broken the separating power of the mystery of iniquity.

The power of the gospel through this protest grew large; it's influence was felt everywhere. Yet Luther was not, of himself, the basis of what the word “Protestant” means; it was rather a declaration of a God-fearing conscience, which would honor their conviction to duty above the decrees of mortal men if they should be demanded to make a decision. The diet of Speyer was convened to seek bringing the influences of Protestantism and Catholicism to a negotiation; the princes convinced the diet that a definite decision should not be made until a general council was held, and it was said that “every State



shall so live, rule, and believe as it may hope and trust to answer before God and his imperial Majesty." This was liberally interpreted to mean religious liberty; and the doors were opened further for advancement of the gospel. Yet less than three years later, it was repealed, and the Pope and the Emperor were demanding submission to its church/state authority. The princes were convened at another diet, and stood firmly against the demand, arguing conscience, and contending for religious liberty.



Here is that:

"Dear Lords, Cousins, Uncles, and Friends! Having repaired to this diet at the summons of his majesty, and for the common good of the empire and of Christendom, we have heard and learnt that the decision of the last diet concerning our holy Christian faith are to be repealed, and that it is proposed to substitute for them certain restrictive and onerous resolutions.

King Ferdinand and the other imperial commissaries, by affixing their seals to the last Recess of Spires, had promised, however, in the name of the emperor, to carry out sincerely and inviolably all that it contained, and to permit nothing that was contrary to it. In like manner, also, you and we, electors, princes, prelates, lords, and deputies of the empire, bound ourselves to maintain always and with our whole might every article of that decree. We cannot therefore consent to its repeal:... because it concerns the glory of God and the salvation of our souls, and that in such matters we

ought to have regard, above all, to the commandment of God, who is King of kings and Lord of lords; each of us rendering him account for himself, without caring the least in the world about majority or minority.

We form no judgment on that which concerns you, most dear lords; and we are content to pray God daily that he will bring us all to unity of faith, in truth, charity, and holiness through Jesus Christ, our throne of grace and our only mediator. But in what concerns ourselves, adhesion to your resolution (and let every honest man be judge!) would be acting against our conscience, condemning a doctrine that we maintain to be christian, and pronouncing that it ought to be abolished in our states, if we could do so without trouble. This would be to deny our Lord Jesus Christ, to reject his holy Word, and thus give him just reason to deny us in turn before his Father, as he had threatened...For these reasons, most dear lords, uncles, cousins, and friends, we earnestly entreat you to weigh carefully our grievances and our motives. If you do not yield to our request, we Protest by these presents, before God, our only Creator, Preserver, Redeemer, and Saviour, and who will one day be our judge, as well as before all men and all creatures, that we, for us and for our people, neither consent nor adhere in any manner whatsoever to the proposed decree, in any thing that is contrary to God, to his holy Word, to our right conscience, to the salvation of our souls, and to the last decree of Spire..."

The word protestant, and the protest began because of the protest of Luther, but it was enlarged, and proven successful that religious liberty was from the protest of the German princes, by which the name Protestant came. Therefore, it enlarges it's span to far beyond Luther. It upholds the freedom of conscience to worship God freely, independent of the condemnation of man to intervene where it is not to intrude. To so intrude into such a sacred place, would be as the serpent intruding upon Adam and Eve, to come between either of them and their God; whether through subtle deception

or force, the principle is the same. The protest takes in all who would honor the right to the individual's accountability to God, above the state, and that the state is not to act as that old serpent into matters where it does not belong. Therefore, the giving of the gospel to set aright erring man placed enmity between the serpent and Eve; and Eve was to, in obedience to the gospel, honor the Creator above the creature, and not the creature above the Creator.

Thus, freedom of choice was always given to mankind by God to make the choice they wanted; yet nothing was to interpose itself between the subjects of heaven and their God; likewise, the gospel maintained it's claims upon an individual's soul to be subject to God's authority first, and any authority of the creature, whether in heaven or earth, second, so long as it that secondary authority did not seek to usurp the homage given to God.

These claims are found in the words of the gospel:

“And I will put enmity between thee [the serpent] and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise His heel.”

(Genesis 3:15)



It doesn't matter how Satan does it, by deception or force, he interposes himself between the soul and God, as though he had authority to do so...

Christ, in the garden first gave the gospel of His suffering, and declared His power to separate the soul's influence from the one who had usurped the place of God: specifically the serpent. Thus Christ was kindling the reformation in the heart of man by the gospel. He is the Firestarter of Protestantism; protesting the right of the serpent (the authority of a creature) to place himself between God and man; the Papacy, believing itself to have prerogative over the conscience, did exactly this; and it will again according to the prophecy:

"And he shall plant the tabernacles of his palace between the seas [the people] and the glorious holy mountain; yet he shall come to his end, and none shall help him." (Daniel 11:45)

Protestantism is therefore an eternal principle of the claims of God upon the soul; in heaven among the angels when Lucifer was rebelling, it was the angels. This scene is described in the war in heaven; and is further related in the Story of Redemption, p.16, "Angels that were loyal and true sought to reconcile this mighty, rebellious angel to the will of his Creator. They justified the act of God in conferring honor upon Christ, and with forcible reasoning sought to convince Lucifer that no less honor was his now than before the Father had proclaimed the honor which He had conferred upon His Son."

Eve sought to reveal this principle when she explained her reason for obeying the express command of God not to eat from the tree; and the gospel that makes men free and obedient children of God are to show their love to their Creator above husband, wife, mother, father, or child (Luke 14:26).

That divine principle of loving God above all, and honoring His authority (keeping His commandments) was simply reignited with the giving of the gospel in the hearts of Luther, and the German princes, but it cannot be renounced so long as time endures, and the true gospel abides in the heart.

**THE UNITED STATES ADOPTS THE PRINCIPLE OF PROTESTANTISM**

It was this protestant principle upon which the United States was built. It's Constitution guaranteed freedom of conscience, as declared in the first amendment, and guarded by the fourteenth amendment.

The 1st declares:

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances”



The 14th declares:

“No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

Justices of the court have determined that protection of conscience and right to worship is protected under the first amendment, and that are “immunities of citizens of the United States.” For easy reference, I will cite two such examples:

Justice Owen Roberts, in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296 (1940) stated that: **“The constitutional inhibition of legislation on the subject of religion has a double aspect. On the one hand, it forestalls compulsion by law of the acceptance of any creed or the practice of any form of worship. Freedom of conscience and freedom to adhere to such religious organization or form of worship as the individual may choose cannot be restricted by law. On the other hand, it safeguards the free exercise of the chosen form of religion. Thus the Amendment embraces two concepts,-freedom to believe**

**and freedom to act.”**

Justice John Paul Steves, in *Wallace v. Jaffree*, 472 U.S. 38 (1985) stated that: **“The First Amendment was adopted to curtail Congress’ power to interfere with the individual’s freedom to believe, to worship, and to express himself in accordance with the dictates of his own conscience, and the Fourteenth Amendment imposed the same substantive limitations on the States’ power to legislate. The individual’s freedom to choose his own creed is the counterpart of his right to refrain from accepting the creed established by the majority. Moreover, the individual freedom of conscience protected by the First Amendment embraces the right to select any religious faith or none at all.”**

The United States was built upon the principles of conscience, and this is clear. The intent of securing conscience from the Papal beast’s enforced worship, and usurping the prerogative of the heart, which is to render due submission to God above fallen man’s erring opinions, was clear. George Washington in 1789, in a letter to the United Baptist Chamber of Virginia, said so:

“If I could conceive that the general government might ever be so administered as to render the liberty of conscience insecure, I beg you will be persuaded, that no one would be more zealous than myself to establish effectual barriers against the horrors of spiritual tyranny, and every species of religious persecution.”



Church and State in this

present earth are made to be separate. Where heaven isn't, the church isn't.

Founding father John Adams said in a letter four years before the Constitution was worked out, the intent of having it: "We should begin by setting conscience free. When all men of all religions shall enjoy equal liberty, property, and an equal chance for honors and power we may expect that improvements will be made in the human character and the state of society." *Letter to Dr. Price, April 8, 1785*

Another drafter of the Constitution and an early supreme court justice, Oliver Ellsworth, said the following: "In our country every man has a right to worship God in that way which is most agreeable to his conscience. If he be a good and peaceable person he is liable to no penalties or incapacities on account of his religious sentiments; or in other words, he is not subject to persecution. But in other parts of the world, it has been, and still is, far different. Systems of religious error have been adopted, in times of ignorance. It has been the interest of tyrannical kings, popes, and prelates, to maintain these errors. When the clouds of ignorance began to vanish, and the people grew more enlightened, there was no other way to keep them in error, but to prohibit their altering their religious opinions by severe persecuting laws. In this way persecution became general throughout Europe."

### **RENOUNCING THE PROTEST**

What, then, does it mean then to renounce the protest? It means much every way. It means the end of liberty of conscience, of individual accountability of the soul to the claims of God. It justifies the act of Lucifer to interpose himself, and condemns the act of the angels. It justifies the Papal

claims of authority, and condemns Luther & the protestant princes. It overturns strict obedience to the Word of God

above the word of mere men or devils; that nothing can be said against wickedness but that it should suffer denunciations, threats, and persecution. To summarize, it means an end to the gospel, which is a revelation of God's authority, power, righteousness, mercy, love, and will. An end to the gospel in the hearts of those who proclaim such a message; an end to the freedom to proclaim that gospel; an end to those lives that obey the gospel.

In January 2014, an Anglican bishop by the name of Tony Palmer proclaimed a message which will do that very thing. Palmer



delivered a special message at the Charismatic Evangelical Leadership Conference hosted by prominent prosperity Evangelist and Pentecostal leader Kenneth Copeland. Before the message, Copeland announced, "You're going to talk about tonight for a loooooong time!" and introduced

Palmer to the stage. He was an ecumenical bridgebuilder amongst Catholic and Protestant churches seeking to unite them in common cause and faith, making an end of the separation that exists between them; and was closely aligned to the Charismatic Pentecostal movement, and also a friend of Pope Francis. America in Bible prophecy is represented as having lamblike power in it's two horns: the Constitution (Republic) and the Declaration of Independence (Protestant). Yet it would betray those warm qualities and "speak as a dragon". A fitting description of the lamblike profession of a Christian who advocates things contrary to the principles of Christianity; in this case, Protestantism.

To make an end of the separation that exists between the Protestant Church and the Catholic Church means a separation from God, and an approval (or at least justifiable tolerance) of those things for which the Papacy believes. One of the chief beliefs of the Papacy is the doctrine of temporal power;



which means that the kingdom of God was established on the earth when Christ resurrected, and the throne was given for Peter and his successors to occupy; thus the Pope has authority over the kings of this world. Instead of the church of Rome affirming Christ's words, "My Kingdom is not of this world...", she rather takes the offer of one who usurped the authority of this world by deception, and sought to have Jesus accept the throne of this world as His own.

Where Christ overcame, Rome failed. That interposition of Satan was manifested in the Papacy exercising earthly power of politics and exerting force, instead of the heavenly power of true conversion of the heart and freely surrendering the heart to a God of love; it wielded the sword of the kings of the earth, rather than the Sword of God's Spirit, which is the Word of God. Thus, it was against the Spirit of Protestantism, where the conscience is captive to God and not men, and demonstrates religious liberty always. Can any advocate making an end to the separation, or to join themselves to such a church, without also saying the protest of honoring the Creator above the creature is over? To repudiate the protest is to repudiate the principles upon which the protest was made.

Palmer, coming with just such a message. At the Charismatic conference, where frequently another spirit manifests itself under the speaking of obscure and vain babblings, he declared exactly what the lamblike beast's profession is. The lamblike beast "does great miracles, so that he makes fire come down from heaven on the earth in the sight of men, And deceives them that dwell on the earth by the means of those miracles which he had power to do in the sight of the beast."

The miracles are performed in the sight of the Papacy; the counterfeit miracle-working Christian movement is definitely Pentecostalism, and fire coming down from heaven was the very



judgment that fell upon those who knew Elijah's identity as a man of God. Thus, these false prophets deceive and make claims to be Elijah, and to call down fire on anyone who opposes that claim. Tony Palmer came to that conference declaring this as his very identity. "I come to you, at this years minister conference in the Spirit and power of Elijah. If you look closely, the work of Elijah was to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children and the children to the fathers, to prepare a people for the coming of the Lord."

Surely all would agree, such a claim demands the attention of the people. What was it that Palmer came to declare? He came to declare that children of the protestant movement were to be reunited with the Papal fathers. He declared: "the protest is over...", citing the "diabolic" [literally devilish in Latin] division caused by Luther in dividing the Catholic church, leading to many other churches, as the source of controversy. Contradicting himself, he agreed on Luther's argument that salvation was by faith; while it was the Catholic church that demanded Luther renounce the protest (thus stifle the Spirit of the gospel kindled in his heart), or else be disfellowshipped, leaving no choice but for more churches to arise as they studied the Bible diligently for the light shining brightly in the deep Papal darkness.

The Lutheran World Federation in 1999 signed a document with the Catholic church agreeing on the doctrine of justification by faith; thus Palmer declared triumphantly, "there is no more protest... brothers and sisters, Luther's protest is over. Is yours?... if there is no more protest, how can there be a Protestant church? Maybe we are all Catholics again..." The argument Palmer employed was to set aside the differences that they held, and to agree to be united in Jesus regardless of truth; yet unlike Elijah, there is no call to repent of the apostasy and Baal-worship that Israel had partaken of, but rather a call to repent from those who took their stand for the gospel, and be joined with the ones worshipping Baalim.

This was the fearful counterfeit of the Elijah message he proclaimed. He was also an ambassador to the Charismatics "in

the sight of the beast”, delivering a video message from Pope Francis addressed to the leaders representing hundreds of thousands of Charismatic Evangelicals. What he did was but a vessel for the events that would follow. His message would not end at himself, but would send waves throughout the professedly Protestant world and accomplish a counterfeit



revival and reconciliation to “the fathers” of the church of Rome, and be proclaimed by many Charismatic leaders, and from them, to the all the denominational churches, who subsequently would come into full communion with the Roman Catholic Papacy. By this

announcement, the harlot woman of Revelation 17, drunk with fornication and the blood of the saints by reason of her doctrinal corruption and presumption of the right to exercise state power, was now to reconcile with her daughters. How significant can one message be! As a result, Kenneth Copeland, one of the leading Charismatic leaders of his movement, recorded with the help of Tony Palmer a message to be relayed back to Pope Francis. In it, he said “My dear sir...we believe God with you... all of these leaders each represent literally tens of thousands of people...”. Copeland summarizing the message to the leadership conference, declared: “Heaven is thrilled over this...You know what is so thrilling to me? When we went into the ministry 47 years ago, this was impossible.” To renounce the protest is the justify the evils that formerly existed. It is to revive the intolerance of the Papacy; it is to unite the Protestants to her deeds; it is to renounce the liberties of the United States; as it is written, “Know ye not that your bodies are the members of Christ? shall I then take the members of Christ, and make them the members of an harlot? God forbid.” (1 Cor. 6:15) Yet, these churches have taken the members of the church, and sold them into the hand of her harlotry, to drink the communion cup of her wickedness; to

partake of her deeds.

Not long after, on June 24th, 2014, a meeting was arranged by Palmer with the Pope. Someone of the largest representative officials of the Evangelical and Charismatic movement were in attendance. The seven men represented over 800,000,000 professed Christians from all denominations of the world, including Seventh-day Adventists who are part of the World Evangelical Alliance. Including Catholics, the total people represented amongst 8 individuals was 2,000,000,000 people.

It consisted of 7 prominent Evangelical leaders attending the Vatican to grab ahold of the paganized Christ of the Papacy;

“another Jesus” (2 Cor. 11:1-4) than the Jesus of the Bible.

Thus fulfilling the verse: “And in that day seven women shall take hold of one man, saying, We will eat our own bread (doctrine), and wear our own apparel (churches): only let us be called by thy name, to take away our reproach.” (Isaiah 4:1)

To the true Jesus, this would be

taking His name upon themselves in a vain profession; He cannot accept into the courts of heaven any who do not have His righteousness upon them, and have not partaken of His bread of consecration, which is the truth from heaven. These women are symbolic of representative churches, seven being a perfect number, seeking to request Jesus to do exactly this. Yet it is only another Jesus which could accept such a claim; a counterfeit Spirit of Elijah leading to a counterfeit Christ, that destroys religious freedom, and does want the kingdom of this world. This Jesus is nothing less than that old serpent that deceived Eve. Even so, these women are deceived, and take hold of the Jesus of the Papacy.

The representative men were Kenneth Copeland, James Robison, John Arnott, the three leading men of the World Evangelical Alliance, Geoff Tunnicliffe, Thomas Schirrmacher, and Brian



Stiller, as well as Tony Palmer. It was a three-hour meeting, 6 times longer than the typical meeting with the Pope, stressing how much value the current Pope placed on this event. All these were present to pave the way for presenting the message that the protest was over, and that reconciliation to visible unity of their faith could begin to take place.

## THE WINDS OF CHANGE

Tony Palmer called the division of Luther “spiritual racism”, which Copeland affirmed, and said the answer is to “abolish the law that empowers it.” If they realized that the law is liberty of conscience, if they realized it was the law of the Constitution of the United States, would their sentiments be different? It was only several weeks after this meeting that two interviews with him took place. One from James Robison’s son, who asked Palmer’s thoughts on the Papacy being “the beast of Revelation” as some call it, and the other from Seventh-day Adventist radio hosts, who asked him questions, but did not delve into the importance of seeing the matter as it truly was, thus Palmer simply promoted his belief and moved on, with a precious opportunity to share the truth gone and the interview strangely disappearing from the station’s records. It was evident that God would seek to open Palmer’s



eyes to the nature of the work he was doing, yet Satan had used Palmer for this work, and he that “has the power of death” brought Palmer into a motorcycle accident where he died only a week later. This only served to embolden those prominent

ministers who heard and believed the message he proclaimed, to advance it’s cause.

Kenneth Copeland spoke in one of his conferences about the meeting with the Papacy, claiming that the miracle-working power began with at the Vatican with the prayer of a pope.

That Pope, who prayed to and worshipped the Holy Spirit, asking for a new pentecost, where there is no specific command to pray or worship the Spirit. It is said that this spirit that was poured out upon the Pentecostal Protestants were to bring about the process of unity amongst Catholics and Protestants; to reverse the protest.

Following this course, that more significant events should happen flowing from the same vein of the spirit of antichrist. We are told of the Papal beast that it had a deadly wound (Rev. 13:3); speaking of it's loss of authority over the governing agencies of the world. This began with the Protest of the princes, which opened the way for religious liberty, and the United States, and consummated with Napoleon's general, Berthier, taking captive the Pope in 1798. Thus the Protestant reformation and religious liberty are united; to renounce one shall mean the removal of the other, and it will mean the healing of the deadly wound the Papacy sustained, both religiously and politically. The Lutherans meeting on the 499 year anniversary of Luther's protest with Pope Francis to enter into the process of leaving behind their former divisions and calling an end to the protest, was a significant event. Speaking of this "wound", Pope Francis called "for this wound in the body of Christ to be healed. This is the goal of our ecumenical endeavors, which we wish to advance, also by renewing our commitment to theological dialogue." In similar wording, the Pope, when announcing a "jubilee" in 2015-2016, and opened the "doors of mercy" to invite all to enter into the church to find mercy from them, he said that he did so because "it's a favorable time to heal wounds," according to the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops. The year started Dec. 8, 2015 and ended Nov. 20.

### **NATIONAL APOSTASY**

We are told that the United States lamblike beast will "speak as a dragon". Christ said it was "from the abundance of the heart, the mouth speaks." The heart of the United States is in

Washington, D.C. where all of it's legislative branch is concerned; literally being known across the United States as "the heart of the nation". It is from Washington, D.C. that this voice will be manifested.

Thus it was, that during the same period of time that the Evangelical leaders were meeting at the Vatican, the a close companion of Copeland,



Truly, the armies are gathering together for the battle of Armageddon.

Rodney Howard-Browne, held a call for America's turning back to God at Washington, D.C.'s Constitution Hall, one of the closest buildings to the White House and Congressional Building. In the meetings, many politicians spoke favorably of Howard-browne's mission, and several prominent Evangelical/Charismatic leaders spoke at the event in what they said was the Spirit of Elijah, to call for the nation to turn back to God. Howard-Brown said the event was to "light the fire in the belly of the beast..."; had he realized that it is the beast of America that calls down fire from heaven in the sight of men? He said it was to "get Jesus back in the government" that these meetings were held; yet this, as we had already looked at earlier, is not the Jesus of the Bible that wants to be in the governments of this world. The same sentiments were expressed by Jews who sought to make Christ king before the time, not discerning His true mission; thus the same error held by the Catholic church that the kingdom of God is on this present earth is largely held in these

Charismatic communities who are grabbing earnestly to wield political influence and power. Lastly, in the meeting, he called “the separation of church and state” “a bunch of garbage”; all this proclaimed by leading Charismatics in the very heart, where the memorial of the Constitutional Republic was erected.



Standing where he ought not...

Further, in Washington, D.C., on the Day of atonement period of time on God’s calendar, the “day of judgment”, which fell on September 24th, 2015, the Jesuit Pope Francis, the very epitome of signs against the Constitution, spoke in a joint-meeting to the Senate & House of Congress, the legislative branch of the United States. The Papacy, the symbol of desolation, apostasy, and the removal of God’s presence, was greeted by awestruck men welcoming his message to the American people, who were represented by all the leading politicians of every State. Thus it was a symbol of God’s judgment; that the time of national apostasy was now ripe. The speaker of the House who invited the Pope said he had invited previous popes for the last 20 years, but only was it the Jesuit Pope who agreed. Seeming to herald the voice of warning, John Adams, the second president of the United States, said “I do not like the late resurrection of the Jesuits...If ever any congregation of men could merit eternal perdition on earth and in hell... it is this company of Loyola. Our system, however, of religious liberty must afford them an asylum; but if they do not put the purity of our elections to a severe trial, it will be a



wonder.” It was further said by General Lafayette, who united in the work with Thomas Jefferson of writing a Constitutional Declaration of the rights of man for France,: “It is my opinion that if the liberties of this country – the United States of America – are destroyed, it will be by the subtlety of the Roman Catholic Jesuit priests, for they are the most crafty, dangerous enemies to civil and religious liberty.”

That God mercifully held back the Popes from speaking in the heart of the nation until the time of national apostasy would ripen was showing His longsuffering and forbearance, and love for His people, and desiring that “none should perish, but all come to repentance” under the most favorable conditions, where the proclamation of the gospel was free to go forward without restrictions upon religious liberty.



Donald Trump promises to secure power for Evangelical Christians if he might secure their support.

### **THE GATHERING**

Again, a call was made to Charismatic, Evangelical, and Protestant leaders, which included James Robison, and was scheduled to feature Kenneth Copeland, to gather irrespective of their doctrinal divides, and to come together in “a solemn assembly” to pray unitedly for God to bring the nation to Him, and have His laws in the government. It was a call for them to unite, and to take accountability for the nation. The Gathering was held, and it’s mission was stated to be so

closely aligned with Catholic ideology: “The church must again become the conscience of the government. Through its national solemn assembly it should clearly and respectfully call political leadership to God’s principles for government...which means that we cannot be so entrenched within political parties that we are not free to speak truth to power. It also means we must begin speaking with one voice so that the nation might see a unified church and not one that is fractured and scattered by differences in how we practice our faith.”



Notice what the Gathering is about? It is for the purpose of the church “again” becoming “the conscience of the government” to “call political leadership to God’s principles for government.” Yet God’s actual principles for government, and the promotion of being the conscience of the government are two ideas wholly at odds one with another.

Months earlier, Donald Trump made a promise to Evangelicals if they would vote for him. “The power of our group of people together...if you add it up ... it could be 240, 250 million. And yet we don’t exert the power that we should have... you know the fact is that there is nothing the politicians can do to you if you band together. You have too much power. But the Christians don’t use their power...We have to strengthen. Because we are getting... less and less and less powerful in terms of a religion, and in terms of a force...And by the way, Christianity will have power...Because if I’m [elected President], you’re going to have plenty of power. You don’t need anybody else. You’re going to have somebody representing you very, very well. Remember that.”



Donald Trump promising to secure Evangelical's power if they will secure him the presidency.

From this, rose the Evangelical Advisory board, which had both Kenneth Copeland and James Robison, two of the men who had attended the 7-man representative meeting at the Vatican with Pope Francis. Through Ben Carson, Robison agreed to meet and counsel Trump, and related the story at a Kenneth Copeland meeting. Robison then proceeded to pray that God's kingdom would be established "on earth as it is in heaven", and for His laws to be the laws of the land- a true sound of alarm, since their idea of God's law being legislated would force a religion upon Americans. More than this, Robison's idea of what constitutes God's law is the law of ten commandments which were changed by the Papacy, which includes the change from Sabbath, the rest day of God after creation was finished, to Sunday, the day of the sun.

The Advisory board however, would answer those very prayers, and was formed under the influence of Ben Carson, a former Seventh-day Adventist who ran for president. The purpose of the board was stated by the campaign. They "announced a new executive board convened to provide advisory support to Mr. Trump on those issues important to Evangelicals and other people of faith in America.

The executive board will also lead a much larger Faith and Cultural Advisory Committee to be announced later this month." The Evangelicals are to advise Trump on making important decisions, and to lead a larger "Cultural" committee, to have

all of them work together. Just as Constantine of old did the same to unite the divided empire. Added to the "larger Faith and Cultural Advisory Committee" were 34 prominent Catholics also several months later. When speaking on what the Evangelical Advisory board's specific role would be, the website says "the formation of the board represents Donald J. Trump's endorsement of those diverse issues important to Evangelicals and other Christians, and his desire to have access to the wise counsel of such leaders as needed." Trump stated, "I have such tremendous respect and admiration for this group and I look forward to continuing to talk about the issues important to Evangelicals, and all Americans, and the common sense solutions I will implement when I am President." At his nominating speech, Trump thanked the Evangelical community: "I would like to thank the evangelical and religious community because I'll tell you what, the support they've given me, and I'm not sure I totally deserve it, has been so awesome and has had such a big reason for me being here tonight...They have much to contribute to our politics..." Shortly after, Trump spoke at a Christian dominionist gathering. The dominionist theology teaches that the Christians should have dominion in every area of life, including government. That the Pope spoke at Congress was but a sign of the nature of what was in the heart of the people, in the heart of Protestants. It shows a lack of the gospel; desolate of God's presence. Therefore, the Papacy in prophecy has had the legacy of being called "the abomination of desolation". The government of the people have lost sight of the light of God's principles, and as such, the prince of darkness interposes himself, as he did with Eve; and by prophetic-fallen Eve, to interpose upon the saints of God a legislative authority such as the Papacy held in old-Europe. Then will persecution be revived in such a manner as has not been witnessed since that time.

Trump, because of the widespread support of Evangelicals, became president, to execute his promises. Evangelicals have hailed him widely as God's chosen to bring revival to the

nation. Trump's motto is for the government of the people to be "one people, under one God, saluting one flag." This is indeed true in a church/state. The flag of heaven's banner cannot be honored; the kingdom of God in the world to come must be renounced for men to seek to make this world their kingdom. All of this pulling together has culminated in a few short years to become what it is now. This began with the renouncing of the protest, and seeking full unity with the Catholic church, and it will end in persecution of God's children.

Paula White, who is on the Advisory board, commenting on the unity after Trump was elected, said: "Far more than what divides us, this election has revealed what unites us. I have never seen such solidarity between evangelicals and Catholics, Pentecostals, charismatics and Baptists. We were brought together with a mutual love for our country and through a mutual faith in God."

Truly, we must seek first the kingdom of God and His righteousness; to say with Christ, "My Kingdom is not of this world", and to make our stand in honoring God above men. Even so, amen.

**"Christ was a protestant. He protested against the formal worship of the Jewish nation, who rejected the counsel of God against themselves. He told them that they taught for doctrines the commandments of men, and that they were pretenders and hypocrites. Like whited sepulchers they were beautiful without, but within full of impurity and corruption. The Reformers date back to Christ and the apostles. They came out and separated themselves from a religion of forms and ceremonies. Luther and his followers did not invent the reformed religion. They simply accepted it as presented by Christ and the apostles. The Bible is presented to us as a sufficient guide." *Review and Herald*, June 1, 1886**

---

# President of the E.U. reveals “We are all Jesuits.”

## We are all Jesuits

Derk Jan Eppink: Dutch journalist, politician in Belgium and the Netherlands, and former cabinet secretary for European Commissioners// Wed, 10. Oct 2012

There are plenty of reasons to keep a close eye on Herman van Rompuy, the President of the European Council. Early September, Van Rompuy spoke to the *‘Interreligious Dialogue’* in Florence. The world press did not notice, but fortunately there was still the *‘Katholiek Nieuwsblad’* from Den Bosch, Rome’s last resort in the Netherlands. The newspaper proudly quoted Van Rompuy as announcing: *‘We are all Jesuits’*. He was referring to those prominent European leaders with whom he is developing the architecture for the future Europe. *‘It creates unbreakable ties. So there is a *‘Jesuits International’*’*.

Who are those people that Van Rompuy, himself schooled by the Jesuits at Sint-Jan Berchman College in Brussels, was talking about? First of all, there is José Manuel Barroso, President of the European Commission. Secondly, there is Jean-Claude Juncker, Prime minister of Luxembourg and Chairman of the Euro group. Van Rompuy also mentions the President of the European Central Bank (ECB), Mario Draghi, who was schooled in the Roman Jesuit College *Instituto Massimiliano Massimo*. The Italian Prime Minister Mario Monti and his Spanish colleague Mariano Rajoy have also been shaped by Jesuit colleges, Van Rompuy cheerfully added. Fortunately there is Angela Merkel, the stubborn daughter of a vicar from the former DDR, to act

as a counterweight.

Listening to Van Rompuy, you will instantly notice the similarities of the Jesuits with Europe. Jesuits formed the vanguard of the Catholic Church, like the European elite is the vanguard of the European integration. Both portray themselves as 'the elite', elevated above the ordinary people. Their methods are very similar. A sophisticated lie or purposeful deception is allowed when framed in the interest of the greater goal. A barely contained cynicism typifies the attitude toward the normal citizen, the ignorant fool, who within a democracy needs to be protected from himself. The Catholic and European elites work through *inner circles*. The rest is prose. Van Rompuy, Barroso, Monti and Rajoy are frequent visitors at papal audiences.

It is not surprising that this mentality leaves traces in the European structures and working methods. The ECB has a Governing Council of twenty three members, among which are the six members of the Executive Board. The ECB setup is hardly different from the Vatican. The Governing Council ranks no women, is not accountable to a Parliament and the minutes of its meetings are classified. The American Federal Reserve Bank and the Bank of Japan have to publish the minutes from their board meetings. The ECB plays a central role in the Euro zone, moving around billions of Euros, but no one knows how the bank in Frankfurt makes decisions. At least the Pope had a butler exposing the secrets.

Admittedly, Euro Jesuits are intellectually superior. They are way smarter than Guy Verhofstadt, leader of the Liberal fraction in the European Parliament and his Green counterpart Daniel Cohn-Bendit. In their book 'For Europe!' they scream their goals at the top of their lungs: a federal Europe with *one* government, *one* European tax and *one* army. The only thing that is missing is one secret service and one leader, so Europe is back to where it started. Put this to a referendum and the 'United States of Europe' is limited to Italy and

Belgium.

Even sillier is the 'final report' of a handful of Ministers of Foreign Affairs on the future of Europe. The report is signed by eleven out of the twenty seven Member States; a minority, among them the Netherlands. The usual suspect, the UK, did not sign and neither did Sweden or Finland. Of the new Member States, only Poland signed. The conclusion of the group: '*The Euro is the most powerful symbol of European integration*'. The many rescue operations and emergency funds are conveniently ignored. Some amongst the eleven Ministers (it is not clear which ones) argue in favour of a European army. Such an army will undoubtedly be a *paper tiger*, because the armies of the Euro countries are shrinking rapidly as a result of the crisis. Surprisingly, Greece spends the most on its military *per capita*! Yet Greece did not sign. In short, these eleven ministers, like a disoriented soccer team, excel at scoring in their own net.

That is something that would never happen to the Euro Jesuits. Their report 'Toward a genuine Economic and Monetary Union' only consists of 'building blocks' and 'suggestions'. The report suggests implementing a European deposit guarantee scheme. Nothing dramatic of course, it is just a suggestion. In a painless exercise of words citizens are moulded into a thinking process that goes beyond them. As soon as they realise what building those building blocks are meant to create, they are already trapped in it. What used to be suggestions will be *fait accompli* and those who object are labelled as unreasonable and fractious, as populists.

Meanwhile, the never elected Prime Minister Monti has announced that he will start a European campaign against 'populism'. Van Rompuy, also never elected, immediately gave him his support. Monti had earlier stated that national parliaments should not get in the way of European leaders, thereby referring to the German Bundestag. They have to be 'educated'. Jesuits lead the people, who are in turn supposed



to follow. What is populism to them? It is the 'ignorant' who refuses to follow: the angry Greeks, the protesting Spaniards, the concerned Germans and the Euro-critical Dutch. A Europe with such an elitist mentality needs a Reformation, but that is something *Jesuits International* detests. What an annoying populist he was, that Martin Luther!

Source: <http://www.djeppink.eu/en/blog/we-are-all-jesuits>

VIDEO OF VAN ROMPUY CONFRONTED:

---

## [Who Are The Jesuits? And Why It Matters...](#)

Who were the Jesuits? In the 1500's, Luther's protest and subsequent translation of the Bible to his native language of German brought the Bible into the hands of the German princes who ruled over Germany. When the power of the gospel penetrated their hearts, the power of the Papacy was broken. This brought about a religious revival that caused the Papacy to lose its hold over the kings of the earth as well as its established religious supremacy. So rapidly did the triumphs of the gospel break the power of the Papacy, that the church needed to summon a new militant arm in order to regain its religious and political supremacy in the world.



"The first triumphs of the Reformation past, Rome summoned new forces, hoping to accomplish its destruction. At this time, the order of the Jesuits was created, the most cruel, unscrupulous, and powerful of all the champions of popery. Cut off from every earthly tie and human interest, dead to the claims of natural affection, reason and conscience wholly silenced, they knew no rule, no tie, but that of their order, and no duty but to extend its power. The gospel of Christ had enabled its adherents to meet danger and endure suffering, undismayed by cold, hunger, toil, and poverty, to uphold the banner of truth in face of the rack, the dungeon, and the stake. To combat these forces, Jesuitism inspired its followers with a fanaticism that enabled them to endure like dangers, and to oppose to the power of truth all the weapons of deception. There was no crime too great for them to commit, no deception too base for them to practice, no disguise too difficult for them to assume. Vowed to perpetual poverty and humility, it was their studied aim to secure wealth and power, to be devoted to the overthrow of Protestantism, and the re-establishment of the papal supremacy.

When appearing as members of their order, they wore a garb of sanctity, visiting prisons and hospitals, ministering to the sick and the poor, professing to have renounced the world, and bearing the sacred name of Jesus, who went about doing good. But under this blameless exterior the most criminal and deadly purposes were concealed. It was a fundamental principle of the order that the end justifies the means. By this code, lying, theft, perjury, assassination, were not only pardonable but commendable, when they served the interests of the church. Under various disguises the Jesuits worked their way into offices of State, climbing up to be the counselors of kings, and shaping the policy of nations. They became servants, to act as spies upon their masters. They established colleges for the sons of princes and nobles, and schools for the common people; and the children of Protestant parents were drawn into an observance of popish rites. All the outward pomp and display of the Romish worship was brought to bear to confuse

the mind, and dazzle and captivate the imagination; and thus the liberty for which the fathers had toiled and bled was betrayed by the sons. The Jesuits rapidly spread themselves over Europe, and wherever they went, there followed a revival of popery." The Great Controversy, pg. 234

There is definite cause for concern when understanding the aims of this order. The depths of deception practiced would know no bounds, and the concealment of their purposes under the disguise of benevolence so definitely reflects the serpent's work in the garden of Eden in causing men to revolt against God through subtlety. It was very well known that their aims upon being established were the definite infiltration of churches, nations, governments, and establishments that tended toward working against their malignant designs of furthering the influence of the Catholic church over the face of the world. You may see the oath that they must take [here](#). The purpose for their existence was to undo all that the reformation did, therefore they are vowed to perpetual enmity with God's purpose of giving light and truth from His Word to the world: thus their work has come to be known as the Counter-Reformation.

The Devil even to this day transforms himself into an angel of light, and quotes Scripture, but his motives are perverse. Satan's work has ever been to undo through deception the work of God, and here is no different; so definitely do the Jesuits follow the Satanic model. Had God not given light to the world, all the works of darkness would remain under their covers, but Christ declared that "nothing is secret, that shall not be made manifest; neither any thing hid, that shall not be known and come abroad." (Luke 8:17)

Francis Borgia the Father General of the Order in the mid-1950-1960's, from which the Jesuit Pope Francis received his name, said "We came in like **lambs** and will rule like **wolves**. We shall be expelled like dogs and return like eagles." Christ was clear that this would happen, saying: "Beware of false prophets, who come to you in **sheep's clothing**, but inwardly they are ravenous **wolves**." (Matthew 7:15)



"For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying the only Lord God, and our Lord Jesus Christ." (Jude 1:4)

The Jesuits were well known for their wickedness, and were abolished by **Pope Clement XIV** in 1773. They would often be "expelled like dogs", but would merely assume a new disguise and mount up into the highest places of society once more. The nations continually found the Jesuits inside of their governments, schools, and other national establishments, seeking to subvert them, and this brought great infamy to both them and Catholic church that commissioned them. Thus pressure was placed upon the Roman Catholic Church to abolish them once and for all. Sufficient political pressure was brought to bear on Pope Clement XIII to do this. He decided on the 3rd of February 1769 to dissolve the Jesuits. The night before he was to execute the dissolution, he fell ill and died. It was said that upon his deathbed he acknowledged his death to be in consequence of seeking to abolish the order which by no means would let even a Pope get between them and their mission to reestablish the supremacy of the church.

The task of abolishing the Society of Jesus then fell on his successor, Clement XIV. In the highest binding legal document of the Catholic church, a Papal bull, the affected dissolution

was decreed and brought to pass. In Dominus ac Redemptor, on August 16th, 1773, the bull went into affect, and the Pope exclaimed "I have cut off my right hand." Soon after this, the letters I.S.S.S.V. appeared upon the palace walls of the Vatican. The Pope knew what it meant, that it stood for "In Settembre, Sara Sede Vacante." which translated meant "In September, the See will be vacant." declaring that the Pope would be dead. Only nine months later, on September 22nd, 1774, he was poisoned and his words were recorded, saying *"Alas, I knew they [i.e., the Jesuits] would poison me; but I did not expect to die in so slow and cruel a manner", having died shortly after.*

Speaking of this, Samuel Morse (inventor of the Telegraph), said the following: "Let us examine the operations of this Austrian Society [the St. Leopold Foundation], for it is hard at work all around us, yes, here in this country [the USA]... With its headquarters in Vienna [Austria], under the immediate direction and inspection of Metternich [the Austrian Prince], ...it makes itself already felt through the Republic [of the United States]. Its emissaries are here. And who are these emissaries? They are Jesuits. This society of men, after exerting their tyranny for upwards of two hundred years, at length became so formidable to the world, threatening the entire subversion to all social order, that even the Pope [Clement XIV], whose devoted subjects they are, and must be, by the vow of their society, was compelled to dissolve them [in 1773]."

The Jesuits did not fully dissemble however, but made their way in secrecy to the time when they would revive their efforts to overthrow everything aligning itself against the Catholic church. Thus was their work hindered to a large degree in many places, and the United States, being a newly founded nation upon religious liberty, offered to the world a bulwark against the woes of the Jesuits, and it's great establishment of the Constitution as a religious haven for all

is the sole reason why the Jesuits have not been able to conquer the nations of the world who have largely followed the pattern of this mighty fortress that God raised up against them. Having this military arm disbanded, the last pillars of the Catholic church to retain it's kingly supremacy ended in 1798.

Yet by 1814, they were restored, and the great men of the United States knew of this revival John Adams, the second president of the United States, writing to Thomas Jefferson, wrote:

"I do not like the late resurrection of the Jesuits. They have a general now in Russia, in correspondence with the Jesuits in the United States, who are more numerous than everybody knows. Shall we not have swarms of them here, in as many shapes and disguises as ever a king of the gypsies, Bamphylde Moore Carew himself assumed? In the shape of printers, editors, writers, schoolmasters, etc.? I have lately read Pascal's letters over again, and four volumes of the History of the Jesuits. If ever any congregation of men could merit eternal perdition on earth and in hell, according to these historians, though, like Pascal, true Catholics, it is this company of Loyola. Our system, however, of religious liberty must afford them an asylum; but if they do not put the purity of our elections to a severe trial, it will be a wonder." May 6th, 1816

In response to him, Jefferson responded:

"I know nothing of the history of the Jesuits you mention in four volumes. Is it a good one? I dislike, with you, their restoration, because it marks a retrograde step from light towards darkness." August 1, 1816

*A French statesman who had addressed both the Senate and House of Representatives in Congress was noted for declaring the following: "It is my opinion that if the liberties of this country – the United States of America – are destroyed, it*

*will be by the subtlety of the Roman Catholic Jesuit priests, for they are the most crafty, dangerous enemies to civil and religious liberty. They have instigated MOST of the wars of Europe."*

**Marquis de LaFayette (1757-1834; French statesman and general. He served in the American Continental Army under the command of General George Washington during the American Revolutionary War.)**

Napoleon also knew of the military might of this resurrected foe of liberty:

*"The Jesuits are a MILITARY organization, not a religious order. Their chief is a general of an army, not the mere father abbot of a monastery. And the aim of this organization is power – power in its most despotic exercise – absolute power, universal power, power to control the world by the volition of a single man. Jesuitism is the most absolute of despotisms – and at the same time the greatest and most enormous of abuses."*

**Napoleon I (i.e., Napoleon Bonaparte; 1769-1821; emperor of the French)**

Abraham Lincoln was vocal about the fact that the civil war that broke out in America was incited by the Jesuits, and addressed his concern for it:

*"This [American Civil] war [of 1861-1865] would never have been possible without the sinister influence of the Jesuits. We owe it to popery that we now see our land reddened with the blood of her noblest sons. Though there were great differences of opinion between the South and the North on the question of slavery, neither Jeff Davis [President of the Confederacy] nor anyone of the leading men of the Confederacy would have dared to attack the North, had they not relied on the promises of the Jesuits, that under the mask of Democracy, the money and arms of the Roman Catholic, even the arms of France, were at their disposal if they would attack us. I pity the priests,*

*the bishops and monks of Rome in the United States, when the people realize that they are, in great part, responsible for the tears and the blood shed in this war. I conceal what I know on that subject from the knowledge of the nation, for if the people knew the whole truth, this war would turn into a religious war, and it would at once take a tenfold more savage and bloody character. It would become merciless as all religious wars are. It would become a war of extermination on both sides.*

*The Protestants of both the North and the South would surely unite to exterminate the priests and the Jesuits, if they could hear what Professor [Samuel B.] Morse [Ed. Note: U.S. inventor of the telegraph] has said to me of the plots made in the very city of Rome [i.e., at the Vatican] to destroy this Republic, and if they could learn how the [Roman Catholic] priests, the nuns, and the monks, which daily land on our shores, under the pretext of preaching their religion, instructing the people in their schools, taking care of the sick in the hospitals, are nothing else but the emissaries of the Pope, of Napoleon, and the other despots of Europe, to undermine our institutions, alienate the hearts of our people from our Constitution, and our laws, destroy our schools, and prepare a reign of anarchy here as they have done in Ireland, in Mexico, in Spain, and wherever there are any people who want to be free.”*

This showed the influence in the United States, and echoed the dangers of men before them. Further than this, **Jeremiah J. Crowley**, who was a former Catholic priest, wrote:

“Today they [i.e., the Jesuits] are stronger in the United States than they ever were in any of the countries of Europe which expelled them as a menace to the government.” (1912)

It was not long until the Catholic church again received political power again in 1929, which gave the Vatican back into the hands of the Papacy, allowing it to have diplomatic



ties with the nations of the world. It was by Italian PM Mussolini that the sovereignty of the Papacy over the Vatican was restored, but it was reported in the British United Press that it was by the influence of a Jesuit that even this was affected: *“It is believed that the Pope [i.e., Pius XI] will offer these services to Signor [Benito] Mussolini through the Jesuit Father Pietro Tachi-Venturi, who is often consulted by Mussolini on important matters.”* (Daily Express, 2/9/1935)

Thus diplomatic ties were secured more and still more until the very time in which we are living. And the Jesuit influence is no less felt in either church or government to this day. To undo the work of the gospel was to undo liberty of conscience (permitting God alone to be judge of matters pertaining to conscience), and to bring darkness back into the world: to dark the institutions that God had lightened, and to blind minds into unbelief. They strictly devote themselves to the service of the god of this world, seeking to build their kingdom in this world, and to win the affections of the hearts of the people to this world and the Catholic church. In the 1980's, the Vatican had it's first ever embassy placed in the White House, where the representative from the Vatican would be in close communication and involvement in the affairs of the country and it's relationship with the Catholic church.

---

## [News Update: The Approaching Agitation](#)

The leaven of the Papacy is fast rising. The Papacy will be speaking before Congress, the legislative body of the United States on the Day of Atonement. Meanwhile, Charismatic Evangelicals are crying out for America's "moral revival", and

reviling the concept of separation of church and state.

Senator Sylvia Allen, in a gun bill debate, said "Probably we should be debating a bill requiring every American to attend a church of their choice on Sunday to see if we can get back to having a moral rebirth..."

Ellen White foretold that it will come to pass:

"The church appeals to the strong arm of civil power, and in this work papists and Protestants unite. As the movement for Sunday enforcement becomes *more bold and decided*, the law will be invoked against commandment-keepers. They will be threatened with fines and imprisonment, and some will be offered positions of influence and other rewards and advantages as inducements to *renounce their faith... Conscientious obedience to the Word of God will be treated as rebellion.*" Great Controversy p. 607

It says "They will be threatened with fines and imprisonment". Ted Cruz, a religious right evangelical, has announced that he will be running for presidency of the United States of America. Cruz is known for his position on pressing evangelical ideals in politics, and was inspired by Senator Allen's remarks. So much so, that he has opted to put the bill of occasional mandatory church observances into his president campaign policy. In the national news syndicate website, National Report, it gives further information on this:

"Cruz's proposal, which his campaign staff says will become an "official" part of his platform over the next several days, would require all Americans to visit "a church or other religious property" at least once each month, unless they meet certain exemption standards, which have not been formally announced yet. Failure to comply would result in a fine, and going several months without a church visit could even

result in a single night of jail time.”  
<http://nationalreport.net/ted-cruz-adds-mandatory-church-platform-inspiring-words-sylvia-allen/>

What makes this more alarming? Cruz is closely affiliated with many Evangelical campaigns that push a moral revival in America, and “getting Jesus back into the government.” They advocate a church that has a great influence over the state. Two such men who have attended these rally calls are James Robison and Kenneth Copeland. Both men who attended the Vatican recently to herald the declaration of Tony Palmer, “The Protest is over...”... When Cruz’s policy begins to spread, it will reach the whole evangelical community by fire. One small statement by the Senator, which she brushed off thinking it would be rather minimal, became more than she anticipated in the mind of Cruz. And the fire that Cruz will spread to Evangelicals will be more than he anticipated. Now the Sunday question will be more widely agitated by all Evangelicals. It is just before us.

“Heretofore those who presented the truths of the third angel’s message have often been regarded as mere alarmists. Their predictions that religious intolerance would gain control in the United States, that church and state would unite to persecute those who keep the commandments of God, have been pronounced groundless and absurd. It has been confidently declared that this land could never become other than what it has been—the defender of religious freedom. But as the question of enforcing Sunday observance is widely agitated, the event so long doubted and disbelieved is seen to be approaching, and the third message will produce an effect which it could not have had before.” Great Controversy pg. 606